

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/517,245	12/07/2004	Takahiro Miyake	62532(70904)	2894	
21874 EDWARDS A	7590 05/12/200 NGELL PALMER & E	EXAM	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 55874			ORTIZ CRIADO, JORGE L		
BOSTON, MA	A 02205	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		2627			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/12/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/517,245	MIYAKE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JORGE L. ORTIZ CRIADO	2627	

	JORGE L. ORTIZ CRIADO	2627			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 28 April 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LOWANCE.			
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance, (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	which places the r (3) a Request		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailling date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07().				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as		
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the			
AMENDMENTS					
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT	will <u>not</u> be entered be E below);	cause		
 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or 	ter form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying t	he issues for		
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).		
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the		
7. \(\bigcirc \) for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided in the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an e	xplanation of		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).		
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).				
	/ James I. Ortiz Criado/				

/Jorge L Ortiz-Criado/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2627 Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendments change the scope of the invention previously examined and searched. These amendments would require further consideration of the prior art made of record and/or inherently a new search.